UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/612,976	07/07/2003	Shiquan Wu	71493-1180 /aba	6378	
7380 SMART & BIC	7590 01/08/2007 GGAR		EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 2999, STATION D 900-55 METCALFE STREET OTTAWA, ON K1P5Y6			DSOUZA, JOSEPH FRANCIS A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CANADA			2611		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MO	3 MONTHS 01/08/2007 PAPER		PER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			5/			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	•			
Office Action Commons	10/612,976	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Adolf DSouza	2611				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 Ju	ıly 20 <u>03</u> .					
,	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1 - 49</u> is/are pending in the application	١.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.		٠			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	<b>7</b> .					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 - 49</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- de stien ne en inement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	relection requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) ⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	COU THE ALLACTION ACIDATED ACIDATION OF A HELD LANGUE CONTINUE CON					
,						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/19/2003.	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				
·	, <u> </u>					

Art Unit: 2611

## **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character 501 has been used to designate both the upper and the lower figure. The lower figure should be renumbered to 503 as disclosed in the specification (page 8, line 6). Also, reference character 514 appears twice in Fig. 2, upper diagram.

Figures 1, 2, 3, 10A should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. The description of the drawings (specification, page 21 and page 41, line 3) states that these are typical, conventional or traditional schemes. See MPEP § 608.02(g).

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the

Art Unit: 2611

remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In the specification, no description is given as to what is meant by transmitting a codeseparated channel not included in the main signal. As Fig. 4A shows, all the main signals are code separated and they or their delayed versions are transmitted. Examiner fails to see how the code-separated channel is not included in the main channel. The specification simply repeats the same sentence without explaining what it means (specification, page 12, lines 15 - 17).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless – (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1, 6, 7, 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Feuerstein (US 6,178,333).

Regarding claim 1, Feuerstein discloses a transmitter (Fig. 6; column 1, lines 25 - 29) comprising:

N transmit antennas, where N>=2 (Fig. 4, elements 401; column 5, lines 19-40); wherein the transmit antennas are the elements 401); wherein the transmitter is adapted to transmit a respective one of N transmit signals from each of the N antennas (Fig. 6, elements Beam 1, 2 ...12; column 7, lines 1 – 15; wherein the transmit signals are the beams 1 ...12), the N transmit signals collectively containing a plurality N of main signals (Fig. 6, elements  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) and a plurality of delayed main signals each

Art Unit: 2611

delayed main signal being a delayed version of one of the main signals (Fig. 6, outputs of elements 621, 622, 623; column 7, lines 15 – 40; wherein the delayed signals are the outputs of the delay elements 621, 622, 623 that are fed to elements 651, 652, 662 to produce the beams 1, 2..12), wherein each transmit signal comprises a combination of a respective main signal of the plurality of main signals and at least one respective delayed main signal of the N delayed main signals (Fig. 6, elements 651, 652, 662 outputs; column 7, lines 1 - 56, wherein the outputs are obtained by combining the main signal with the delayed signals, the combination being provided by the switch matrices).

Regarding claim 6, Feuerstein discloses a transmitter for transmitting a first main signal  $S_A(t)$  and a second main signal  $S_B(t)$  (Fig. 6, beam 1 and beam 2), the transmitter comprising:

a first antenna and a second antenna (Fig. 4, elements 401; Fig. 4, elements 401; column 5, lines 19 – 40);

a first delay element for delaying the first main signal  $S_A(t)$  to produce a first delayed signal  $S_A(t-D1)$  where D1 is a first delay (Fig. 6, element 621; column 7, lines 15 – 26; wherein the delay is element 621);

a second delay element for delaying the second main signal  $S_B$  (t) to produce a second delayed signal  $S_B$  (t-D2) where D2 is a second delay (Fig. 6, element 622; column 7, lines 15 – 26; wherein the delay is element 622);

Art Unit: 2611

wherein a first linear combination of one of the main signals and one of the delayed signals is transmitted from the first antenna and a second linear combination of the other of the main signals and the other of the delayed signals is transmitted from the second antenna 9 Fig. 6, outputs of elements 651, 652; column 7, lines 15 – 55; wherein the first and second linear combinations are provided by the switch matrices 651 and 652 which can be programmed by the controller 670 to provide the desired linear combination).

Regarding claim 7, Feuerstein discloses the first main signal and the second main signal are each CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) signals (Title; Abstract; column 12, lines 19 – 22).

Claim 48 is similarly analyzed as the corresponding limitation in claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2, 3, 33, 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Harrison (US 6.067,324) and further in view

Art Unit: 2611

of Alamouiti (A Simple Transmit Diversity Technique for Wireless Communications; October 1988; IEEE Journal on Select Areas in Communications; pages 1451 – 158).

Regarding claim 2, Feuerstein discloses the N transmit signals comprise a J<sup>th</sup> transmit signal Transmit<sub>J</sub> from antenna J=1, . . . , N, and wherein Transmit<sub>J</sub> comprises:

Transmit, 
$$-\left(S_{\sigma}\right)_{+}^{*} \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{K_{\sigma}} \left(S_{\sigma}\left(i-D_{\sigma}\right)\right)$$

 $S_J$ =is the  $J^{th}$  main signal of the plurality of main signals;

 $\alpha_{J}$  = is a virtual spatial reflector applied to the  $J^{th}$  main signal;

 $T_J$  = is a transformation applied to the  $J^{th}$  main signal;

 $K_J$  = is a number of delayed signals included in the  $J^{th}$  transmit signal;

 $\alpha_{iJ}$  = is a virtual spatial reflector applied to the i<sup>th</sup> delayed signal included in the J<sup>th</sup> transmit signal;

 $S_{iJ}$ ,  $i=1,\ldots,K_J$  are the signals which are to be delayed and included in the  $J^{th}$  transmit signal where each  $iJ \in 1,\ldots,N$ ;

 $D_{iJ}$  = is a delay applied to signal  $S_{iJ}$ ;

 $T_{iJ}$  = is a transformation applied to the  $i^{th}$  delayed signal included in the  $J^{th}$  transmit signal.

Art Unit: 2611

(Fig. 6, column 7, lines 1 – 56; wherein for example if J = 1, then the signal  $S_1$  would be the main signal in beam 1 which is the  $\alpha$  signal and the delayed signals would be the signals  $\beta_0$  and  $\gamma_0$ . The switch matrix can be set to select the appropriate signals that comprise each beam).

Feuerstein does not disclose that the main and delayed signals are scaled and a transformation.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Harrison discloses a gain scaling that can be applied to each path (Fig. 5, element 306; column 6, lines 44 – 48).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Harrison, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the spectrum to be shaped, as is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art or also control the transmitted power, as disclosed by Harrison (column 3, lines 45 - 47).

It is noted that the applicant has stated that an example of the transformation operation is a conjugation operation (specification, page 28, lines 20 - 23) and therefore the examiner has interpreted the transformation operation to be a conjugation operation.

In the same field of endeavor, Alamouiti discloses a transformation that is performed on the input signal (page 1453, right column, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Alamouiti, in the system of Feuerstein because this would provide better performance than a system with no diversity, as disclosed by Alamouiti (page 1455, Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 3, Feuerstein discloses each transmit signal comprises a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) signal (Title; Abstract; column 12, lines 19 - 22).

Claim 33 is similarly analyzed as claim 2.

Claim 34 is similarly analyzed as claim 3.

7. Claim 4, 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Harrison (US 6.067,324) and further in view of Alamouiti (A Simple Transmit Diversity Technique for Wireless Communications; October 1988; IEEE Journal on Select Areas in Communications; pages 1451 – 158) and Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528).

Regarding claim 4, Feuerstein does not disclose that each main signal comprises a respective combined set of at least one code separated channel.

In the same field of endeavor, Lundby discloses each main signal comprises a respective combined set of at least one code separated channel (Fig. 2, elements 116,

Art Unit: 2611

118; column 6, lines 15 – 20; column 7, lines 18 – 21; wherein the code separated channel is interpreted as being obtained by the PN spreading code).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would separate a channel from other channels, thereby allowing detection of a channel on the receiver side, as is well known in the art.

Claim 35 is similarly analyzed as claim 4.

8. Claims 8, 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Harrison (US 6.067,324).

Regarding claim 8, Feuerstein discloses the first linear combination comprises:

$$X_A(t) = S_A(t) + S_A(t-D1)$$

and the second linear combination comprises:

$$X_B(t) = S_B(t) + S_B(t-D2)$$

(Fig. 6, outputs of switch matrices 651, 652, 662, controller 670; column 7, lines 15 – 56; wherein the linear combinations are obtained by having the controller set the switch matrices appropriately).

Art Unit: 2611

and that a resulting channel matrix H yields a well conditioned H\*H for a particular noise environment where D1 and D2 are delays and where H\* is the complex conjugate of H (Abstract, column 1, lines 25 – 29; column 2, line 66 – column 3, line 13; It is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that a well conditioned spectrum has no nulls while an ill-conditioned spectrum has deep nulls. Therefore, having a well-conditioned matrix is equivalent to avoiding deep nulls in the spectrum).

Feuerstein does not disclose the scale factors that are used for the linear combination.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Harrison discloses a scale factors that can be applied to each path (Fig. 5, element 306; column 6, lines 44 - 48).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Harrison, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the spectrum to be shaped, as is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art or also control the transmitted power, as disclosed by Harrison (column 3, lines 45 - 47).

Claim 9 is similarly analyzed as claim 8, with the controller again setting the switch matrices to give the appropriate linear combination.

9. Claim 10, 11, 13, 31, 32, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528).

Art Unit: 2611

Regarding claim 10, Feuerstein does not disclose scrambling the first and second main signals.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses a scrambling circuit for scrambling a first signal to produce the first main signal and for scrambling a second signal to produce the second main signal, the first signal and the second signal being scrambled with an identical scrambling code (Fig. 2, elements 116, 118; column 6, lines 15 – 20; column 7, lines 18 – 21; wherein the scrambling circuits are the PN circuits 116 and 118. Lundby does not explicitly state what the spreading codes are and therefore Examiner has taken the broadest interpretation and assumed that they could be equal or different. In column 7, lines 26 – 44, Lundby states that the signals in the path could be distinguished from each other by using the delay element. Therefore, using the same PN in each path would not prevent the receiver from distinguishing the two paths).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the signal in the two channels to be distinguished from each other, as disclosed by Lundby.

Claim 11 is similarly analyzed as claim 10.

Regarding claim 13, Feuerstein does not disclose a demultiplexer for splitting the symbols into two streams.

Art Unit: 2611

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses a demultiplexer for splitting a symbol stream into symbols included in said first signal and said second signal (Fig. 2, element 104; column 5, line 66 – column 6, line 3).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the signal to be transmitted by multiple antennas, as disclosed by Lundby (Fig. 2).

Regarding claim 31, Feuerstein discloses the transmitter (Fig. 6).

Feuerstein does not disclose a receiver and atleast one receive antenna.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses a receiver comprising at least one receive antenna (Fig. 3, element 200), each receive antenna receiving a respective receive signal over the wireless channel from the transmitter (Fig. 3, element 200) receive signal processing circuitry adapted to process the receive signals (Fig. 3, element 207 - 224).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the signal to be received, processed and decoded, as is well known in the art.

Art Unit: 2611

Claim 32 is similarly analyzed as clam 31 with the searcher in the receiver capable of detecting the main signal and the delayed signals.

Regarding claim 36, Feuerstein discloses a transmitter for transmitting a first main signal  $S_A(t)$  and a second main signal  $S_B(t)$  (Fig. 6, beam 1 and beam 2), the transmitter comprising:

a first antenna and a second antenna (Fig. 4, elements 401; Fig. 4, elements 401; column 5, lines 19 – 40);

a first delay element for delaying the first main signal  $S_A(t)$  to produce a first delayed signal  $S_A(t-D1)$  where D1 is a first delay (Fig. 6, element 621; column 7, lines 15 – 26; wherein the delay is element 621);

a second delay element for delaying the second main signal  $S_B$  (t) to produce a second delayed signal  $S_B$  (t-D2) where D2 is a second delay (Fig. 6, element 622; column 7, lines 15 – 26; wherein the delay is element 622);

wherein a first linear combination of one of the main signals and one of the delayed signals is transmitted from the first antenna and a second linear combination of the other of the main signals and the other of the delayed signals is transmitted from the second antenna 9 Fig. 6, outputs of elements 651, 652; column 7, lines 15 – 55; wherein the first and second linear combinations are provided by the switch matrices

Art Unit: 2611

651 and 652 which can be programmed by the controller 670 to provide the desired linear combination).

10. Claims 12, 21,22, 27, 37, 38, 44, 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of McGuffin (US 4,217,586).

Regarding claim 12, Feuerstein does not disclose that the delay is selected so that there is enough separation between the scrambling codes in the main and delayed paths.

In the same field of endeavor, however, McGuffin discloses each delay implemented in one of the delay elements is selected to provide enough separation between the scrambling code and a version of the scrambling code delayed by the delay such that the scrambling code and the scrambling code delayed by the delay are substantially orthogonal to each other (column 6, lines 49 - 53).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by McGuffin, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the two PN codes to be resolvable and uncorrelated, as disclosed by McGuffin.

Regarding claim 21, Feuerstein does not disclose less than N receive antennas.

Art Unit: 2611

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses there are less than N receive antennas (Fig. 3, element 200; wherein less than N is interpreted a single antenna).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow a single antenna to be used, thereby simplifying receiver complexity.

Claim 22, 27, 37, 38, 44, 45 are similarly analyzed as claim 21.

Regarding claim 23, Feuerstein discloses that all signals are CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) signals (Title; Abstract; column 12, lines 19 – 22).

11. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (hereafter referred to as AAPA).

Regarding claim 14, Feuerstein discloses a CDMA system (Title; Abstract; column 12, lines 19 – 22).

Feuerstein does not disclose code-separated channels that are generic, user specific and are used for main signals.

Art Unit: 2611

Applicant had admitted in the specification (Fig. 2, element 501; specification, page 21, lines 3-4; page 8, lines 4-22) that the typical conventional CDMA transmitter has a respective first set of at least one channels which are generic to multiple users; a respective second set of at least one channels which are user specific; and a respective third set of channels which are user specific and which function as one of said main signals.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by AAPA, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow multiple types of channel to be transmitted simultaneously, as disclosed by AAPA.

12. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of El-Gamal et al. (US 20020131516).

Regarding claim 15, Feuerstein does not disclose that the first and second main signals are OFDM signals.

In the same field of endeavor, however, El-Gamal discloses the first main signal and the second main signal are each OFDM (Orthogonal Frequency Division Modulation) signals (Fig. 2, element 211 207; page 3, paragraph 32, last 4 lines in particular; page 7, paragraph 78, 1<sup>st</sup> 4 line sin particular).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by El-Gamal, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow antenna diversity to be used for an OFDM system.

13. Claims 16, 17, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Harrison (US 6.067,324) and further in view of El-Gamal et al. (US 20020131516).

Regarding claim 16, Feuerstein discloses the first linear combination comprises:

$$X_A(t) = S_A(t) + S_A(t-D1)$$

and the second linear combination comprises:

$$X_B(t) = S_B(t) + S_B(t - D2)$$

(Fig. 6, outputs of switch matrices 651, 652, 662, controller 670; column 7, lines 15 – 56; wherein the linear combinations are obtained by having the controller set the switch matrices appropriately).

and that a resulting channel matrix H yields a well conditioned H\*H for a particular noise environment where D1 and D2 are delays and where H\* is the complex conjugate of H

Art Unit: 2611

(Abstract, column 1, lines 25 – 29; column 2, line 66 – column 3, line 13; It is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art that a well conditioned spectrum has no nulls while an ill-conditioned spectrum has deep nulls. Therefore, having a well-conditioned matrix is equivalent to avoiding deep nulls in the spectrum).

Feuerstein does not disclose the scale factors that are used for the linear combination.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Harrison discloses a scale factors that can be applied to each path (Fig. 5, element 306; column 6, lines 44 – 48).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Harrison, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the spectrum to be shaped, as is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art or also control the transmitted power, as disclosed by Harrison (column 3, lines 45 - 47).

Claim 17 is similarly analyzed as claim 16.

Regarding claim 19, Feuerstein discloses there in no large notch in the spectrum (Abstract, column 1, lines 25 – 29; column 2, line 66 – column 3, line 13).

Feuerstein does not disclose the scaling factors.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Harrison discloses a gain scaling that can be applied to each path (Fig. 5, element 306; column 6, lines 44 – 48).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Harrison, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the spectrum to be shaped, as is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art or also control the transmitted power, as disclosed by Harrison (column 3, lines 45-47).

14. Claim 18, 42 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) and El-Gamal et al. (US 20020131516).

Regarding claim 18, Feuerstein does not disclose a forward error correction block, symbol mapping, demultiplexing, and IFFTs.

Applicant had admitted in the specification (Fig. 3, OFDM transmitter 100; specification, page 21, lines 5 – 6) that the prior art discloses a forward error correction block for performing forward error correction on an incoming bit stream to generate a coded bit stream (Fig. 3, channel coding 104);

a symbol mapping function for mapping the coded bit stream to a first modulation symbol stream (Fig. 3, symbol mapper 106);

Art Unit: 2611

a first IFFT (Inverse Fast Fourier Transform) function (Fig. 3, IFFT 110), first prefix adding function and first windowing filter (Fig. 3, element 114) adapted to process the second modulation symbol stream to generate the first main signal (Fig. 3, element 120);

a second IFFT (Inverse Fast Fourier Transform) function, second prefix adding function and second windowing filter adapted to process the third modulation symbol stream to generate the second main signal (the second IFFT and subsequent processing is same as disclosed for the first IFFT but is used on the second stream obtained from the demultiplexer).

AAPA does not disclose a demultiplexing function.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses a demultiplexing function adapted to divide the modulation symbol stream into second and third modulation symbol streams (Fig. 2, element 104; column 5, line 66 – column 6, line 3).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the signal to be transmitted by multiple antennas, as disclosed by Lundby (Fig. 2).

Claim 42 is similarly analyzed as claim 18.

Art Unit: 2611

15. Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of McGuffin (US 4,217,586).

Regarding claim 20, Feuerstein discloses a signal transmitted over a wireless channel from a transmitter having a plurality N of transmit antennas (Fig. 4, elements 401; column 5, lines 19 – 40), wherein the transmitter is adapted to transmit a respective one of N transmit signals from each of the N antennas (Fig. 6, elements Beam 1, 2 .. 12; column 7, lines 1 - 15; wherein the transmit signals are the beams  $1 \dots 12$ ), the N transmit signals collectively containing a plurality N of main signals (Fig. 6, elements  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) and a plurality of delayed main signals each delayed main signal being a delayed version of one of the main signals (Fig. 6, outputs of elements 621, 622, 623; column 7, lines 15 – 40; wherein the delayed signals are the outputs of the delay elements 621, 622, 623 that are fed to elements 651, 652, 662 to produce the beams 1, 2...12), wherein each transmit signal comprises a combination of a respective main signal of the plurality of main signals and at least one respective delayed main signal of the N delayed main signals (Fig. 6, elements 651, 652, 662 outputs; column 7, lines 1 -56, wherein the outputs are obtained by combining the main signal with the delayed signals, the combination being provided by the switch matrices).

Feuerstein does not disclose a receiver with atleast one antenna and receive processing circuitry.

Art Unit: 2611

In the same field of endeavor, however, McGuffin discloses a receiver for receiving a signal transmitted over a wireless channel (Fig. 1), the receiver comprising: at least one receive antenna (Fig. 1, elements 2a, 2b, ...2m), each receive antenna receiving a respective receive signal over the wireless channel from the transmitter; receive signal processing circuitry adapted to perform receive processing for each of the N main signals and each of the N delayed main signals (Fig. 1, elements 6a-m, 10a-m, 11a-m).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by McGuffin, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the signal to be received by multiple antennas, thereby improving the receiver performance through antenna diversity, as is well known in the art.

16. Claims 24, 25, 39, 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of McGuffin (US 4,217,586) and Easton (US 5,764,687).

Regarding claim 24, Feuerstein does not disclose a finger detector.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Easton discloses a finger detector configured to process each receive signal to identify multi-path components transmitted by each antenna, the multi-path components comprising at least one pair of multi-path components comprising a first multi-path component and a second multi-path

Art Unit: 2611

component which is later than the first multi-path component by the delay introduced at the transmitter (Fig. 2, elements 12, 14; column 5, lines 16 – 26; wherein the finger detector is interpreted as the searcher that can detect any delay that is also introduced by the transmitter).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Easton, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow multipath components to be detected, as is well known in the art.

Regarding claim 25, Feuerstein does not disclose that the receiver does dispreading and descrambling and an array processor.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Easton discloses the receive signal processing circuitry comprises de-scrambling and de-spreading functions which produce de-spread signals for each multi-path component (Fig. 3, elements 100, 106; column 9, lines 38 – 45; column 12, lines 50 - 61), the receiver further comprising: a virtual array processor for performing combining of the de-spread signals (column 6, line 66 – column 7, line 14; column 22, lines 32 – 33; wherein the array processor is interpreted as being done by the digital signal processor).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Easton, in the system of

Art Unit: 2611

Feuerstein because this would allow the channel to be properly decoded, as is well known in the art.

Claim 39 is similarly analyzed as claim 24.

Claim 40 is similarly analyzed as claim 25.

17. Claims 26, 27, 28, 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of McGuffin (US 4,217,586) and Brunner et al. (US 6,567,4627).

Regarding claim 26, Feuerstein discloses Feuerstein discloses a signal transmitted over a wireless channel from a transmitter having a plurality N of transmit antennas (Fig. 4, elements 401; column 5, lines 19-40), wherein the transmitter is adapted to transmit a respective one of N transmit signals from each of the N antennas (Fig. 6, elements Beam 1, 2..12; column 7, lines 1-15; wherein the transmit signals are the beams 1....12), the N transmit signals collectively containing a plurality N of main signals (Fig. 6, elements  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ ) and a plurality of delayed main signals each delayed main signal being a delayed version of one of the main signals (Fig. 6, outputs of elements 621, 622, 623; column 7, lines 15-40; wherein the delayed signals are the outputs of the delay elements 621, 622, 623 that are fed to elements 651, 652, 662 to produce the beams 1, 2..12), wherein each transmit signal comprises a combination of a respective

Art Unit: 2611

main signal of the plurality of main signals and at least one respective delayed main signal of the N delayed main signals (Fig. 6, elements 651, 652, 662 outputs; column 7, lines 1 - 56, wherein the outputs are obtained by combining the main signal with the delayed signals, the combination being provided by the switch matrices).

Feuerstein does not disclose a receiver with atleast one antenna and receive processing circuitry, an over sampling ADC, and a MIMO decoder.

In the same field of endeavor, however, McGuffin discloses a receiver for receiving a signal transmitted over a wireless channel (Fig. 1), the receiver comprising: at least one receive antenna (Fig. 1, elements 2a, 2b, ...2m), each receive antenna receiving a respective receive signal over the wireless channel from the transmitter;

signal processing circuitry adapted to perform receive processing for each of the sample streams to produce pre-combined signals (Fig. 1, elements 6a-m, 10a-m, 11a -m);

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by McGuffin, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the signal to be received by multiple antennas, thereby improving the receiver performance through antenna diversity, as is well known in the art.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Brunner discloses each receive antenna, a respective over-sampling analog to digital converter which samples the respective

Art Unit: 2611

receive signal and a respective sample selector adapted to produce a respective plurality of sample streams (Fig. 4, elements 6, ADC 42; column 9, lines 40 - 52; column 12, lines 50 - 52).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Brunner, in the system of Feuerstein because this would reduce the effects of aliasing, as I swell known in the art.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses a MIMO (Multiple Input Multiple Output) decoder adapted to perform MIMO processing on the pre-combined signals (Fig. 3, element 222; column 8, lines 22 - 34).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow the received signal to be decoded, as is well known in the art.

Regarding claim 27, Feuerstein does not disclose less than N receive antennas.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses there are less than N receive antennas (Fig. 3, element 200; wherein less than N is interpreted a single antenna).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of

Art Unit: 2611

Feuerstein because this would allow a single antenna to be used, thereby simplifying receiver complexity.

Claim 28 is similarly analyzed as claim 27.

Claim 43 is similarly analyzed as the corresponding limitation in claim 26.

18. Claims 29, 30, 45 – 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of McGuffin (US 4,217,586), Brunner et al. (US 6,567,4627) and Hilton (US 20030080890).

Regarding claim 29, Feuerstein discloses each transmit signal comprises a main signal and N-1 delayed signals (Fig. 6, elements 611 – 651; wherein the delayed signals are generated by delay elements 621, 622, 623).

Feuerstein does not disclose that each over sampling converter performs N times over sampling

In the same field of endeavor, however, Hilton discloses each over-sampling analog to digital converter performs N times over-sampling (Fig. 2, element 220, 230; page 2, paragraph 17; wherein the N times over sampling is interpreted as two times over sampling).

Art Unit: 2611

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Hilton, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow would reduce the effects of aliasing, as I swell known in the art.

Claim 30 is similarly analyzed as claim 29, with the ADC having two times over sampling to generate two streams.

Claim 46 is similarly analyzed as claim 29.

Claim 47 is similarly analyzed as claim 30.

19. Claim 41 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

Regarding claim 41, Feuerstein does not disclose transmitting and receiving OFDM.

Applicant had shown in the specification (Fig. 3; specification, page 21, lines 5 - 6;) a conventional OFDM transmitter and receiver.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by AAPA, in the system of

Art Unit: 2611

Feuerstein because this would allow the benefits of OFDM, namely resistance to multipath, data rate adaptation to SNR etc to be taken advantage of.

20. Claim 49 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Feuerstein (US 6,178,333) in view of Lundby et al. (US 6,356,528) and further in view of Baker (US 20020173302).

Regarding claim 49, Feuerstein does not disclose a single receive antenna and producing two signals from the single received signal.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Lundby discloses at a single receive antenna, receiving over a wireless channel a received signal (Fig. 3, element 200).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the method, as taught by Lundby, in the system of Feuerstein because this would allow a single antenna to be used, thereby simplifying receiver complexity.

In the same field of endeavor, however, Baker discloses processing the received signal to produce at least two signals which are mathematically equivalent to two signals which would be received over two different receive antennas; processing the two signals as if they were received over two different antennas (page 4, paragraph 53; Fig. 4; wherein the processing as if received on two antenna is done as shown in Fig. 4).

Art Unit: 2611

#### Other Prior Art Cited

21. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure.

The following patents are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to antenna diversity techniques:

Raleigh et al. (US 6,144,711) discloses use of spatio-temporal processing for communication.

Raleigh (US 6,377,631) discloses a transmitter incorporating spatio-temporal processing.

Kelkar et al. (US 20020064246) discloses Spatial-temporal methods and systems for reception of non-line-of-sight communication signals.

Briley (US 6,456,610) discloses a TDM/TDMA wireless telecommunication system with electronic scanning antenna.

Art Unit: 2611

#### **Contact Information**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Adolf DSouza whose telephone number is 571-272-1043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Adolf DSouza Examiner Art Unit 2611 Art Unit: 2611

AD

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR-SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINER